

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ch

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/037,377	12/31/2001	Wayne Clement Sigl	29245-5/KC12,657.3	6501	
23482	7590 07/16/2003				
WILHELM LAW SERVICE, S.C.			EXAMINER		
100 W LAWRENCE ST THIRD FLOOR			REICHLE, I	REICHLE, KARIN M	
APPLETON, V	W1 54911		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	2	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _

6) U Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/037,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-37, drawn to An Absorbent Article, classified in class 604, subclass 385.03.
 - II. Claims 38-40, drawn to a Method of Preparing a Labial Pad for Disposal, classified in class 604, subclass 385.13.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, see page 21, lines 13-26 of the instant specification for example.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to diverging fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of retaining flap in Figures 3-4 without the printing, the species of

Application/Control Number: 10/037,377

Art Unit: 3761

the flap of Figures 3-4 and 5-8 with the printing and the species of flap in Figures 3A-4A, and the species of pad shape shown in Figures 3-3A and 9, 11-16.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of the retaining flap and a single disclosed species of the pad shape for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,377

Art Unit: 3761

It is again set forth that Applicant needs to elect one species of the retaining flap and one species of the pad shape to be responsive.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas Wilhelm on July 9, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. M. Reichle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2617. The Examiner's regular work schedule is Monday-Thursday. The Official RightFAX number is 703-872-9302.

K.m.Ruche Karin reiche

Page 4

KMR

July 14, 2003